





Aveiro Tech City Living Lab

Evaluation From for Applicant Projects

EVALUATION FORM FOR APPLICANT PROJECTS THAT AIM TO USE THE AVEIRO TECH CITY LIVING LAB

(ANNEX: APPLICATION FORM AND ANNEXES)

- 1. The Jury must evaluate the applications on the basis of the information provided in the Application Form and the annexes submitted by the applicants, according to the evaluation criteria defined in Article 10 of the Aveiro Tech City Living Lab (ATCLL) Usage Rules and included in this document.
- 2. Some examples of the typology of attachments that applicants will add to their project applications are: business model, portfolio, prototypes, brochures, videos or other documents that may illustrate the project application.
- 3. The applications submitted must be evaluated by the Jury taking into account the following criteria, score and weighting:

| Application Selection Criteria | Score | Weighti | |
|---|--------------|-----------|--|
| | | ng | |
| Project's Degree of Innovation | (1-5) | 45% | |
| The degree of innovation, its maturity, its scalability potential | and its pote | ntial for | |
| impact on city | | | |
| management will be assessed | | | |
| Use of the ATCLL potentials | (1-5) | 45% | |
| Degree of exploitation of the potentials of ATCLL (infrastructure and urban platform) | | | |
| and its potential | | | |
| for development and feasibility | | | |
| Team and Working Methodology | (1-5) | 10% | |
| Adequacy of technical skills to project needs | | | |
| | | 100% | |

- 4. Each member of the Jury awards each project, and for each of the mentioned criteria, a score from one to five points, corresponding to: 1: not relevant at all, 2: not very relevant, 3: relevant, 4: very relevant and 5: highly relevant.
- 5. The total score is established by the sum of the scores obtained for each criterion and the respective weighting percentage.

- 6. An additional weighting is applied to the evaluation process submitted, taking into account the nature of the participating entity, in particular:
 - a. Applications from Startups shall be increased by 10%,
 - b. Applications from R&D institutions are increased by 5%.
- 7. All the information contained in the Application Form must be analysed by the Jury, however, there are some fields in the form that respond directly to certain evaluation criteria. This list is presented in chart 1.

Chart 1. Direct relation between the evaluation criteria and the fields of the Application Form

| Application Selection Criteria | Application Form Fields | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Degree of Innovation of the Project (45%) | | | |
| | What makes the solution innovative and how? | | |
| | Clear and objective description of the differences between the | | |
| | presented solution and current alternative solutions, including | | |
| Degree of Innovation | the benefits to customers and how it solves existing problems. | | |
| | The text should avoid expressions such as "it's better or faster | | |
| | than", opting for arguments such as "it allows for an increase by | | |
| | X%", in other words, as | | |
| | much quantitative as possible. | | |
| | Which technology supports the concept, product or service? | | |
| | Clear and objective description of the current development phase | | |
| Degree of Maturity | of the solution and what the next critical development steps are. | | |
| | To safeguard intellectual property at this application stage, | | |
| | applicants should avoid disclosing confidential information, while | | |
| | nevertheless ensuring that the | | |
| | information provided is sufficient to assess its value. | | |
| | Is there an opportunity for global impact? | | |
| Marketing | Description of generic characteristics of the market in which the | | |
| potential/ | concept, product or service fits and the extent to which its | | |
| Scalability | value proposition makes the solution attractive. | | |
| | Is there an opportunity for an impact on city management? | | |
| Potential Impact on | Description of the characteristics of the project and the impact it | | |
| City Management | may have on the operational management of the city in its area of | | |
| | operation | | |
| | (Mobility, Lighting, Waste Management, others). | | |
| Use of ATCLL's potent | ials (45%) | | |

| | To what extent are the infrastructure and/or the urban | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Degree of | platform for data management and analytics made available | |
| exploitation of the | important for the development of the project and how will the | |
| ATCLL potential | project exploit their potentials? | |
| (infrastructure and | Description of how the use of infrastructure and/or urban | |
| urban platform) | platform is envisaged for the implementation of the project and | |
| | achievement of the expected results. | |
| | To what extent are the infrastructure and/or the urban | |
| Degree of | platform for data management and analytics made available | |
| Feasibility/Potential | important for the development of the project and how will the | |
| for Development and | project exploit their potentials? | |
| Testing | Description of how the use of infrastructure and/or urban | |
| | platform is envisaged for the implementation of the project and | |
| | achievement of the | |
| - In 1: 1: | expected results. | |
| Team and Working Methodology (10%) | | |
| | What is the technical profile of each team member and their role | |
| Adequacy of | in the project * | |
| technical skills to | Description of the skills, knowledge and experience of each team | |
| project needs | member and their role in project implementation. | |
| | What is the expected duration of the project and the Work Plan | |
| Work Planning | defined for its implementation? | |
| and Methodology | Chronological description of the set of tangible objectives and | |
| | activities relevant to the implementation of the project (it should | |
| | naturally include the identification of the expected duration of the | |
| | project and its | |
| Application Selection | Application Form Fields | |
| Criteria | | |
| | activities, the expected forecast of the starting month and may | |
| | I protorably interrolate the human material and technological | |
| | preferably interrelate the human, material and technological | |
| | resources required to implement each of the activities). | |